INTRODUCTION

Various measures have been employed in the diagnosis of adrenal insufficiency (AI). The most common procedure in clinical practice is the ACTH stimulation test. According to the current guideline of the Endocrine Society, the standard dose is 250 µg of ACTH (HDT) with serum cortisol measured at 30 minutes and/or 60 minutes (1). Peak cortisol levels below 18 µg/dL (500 nmol/L) at 30 and/or 60 minutes (assay-specific) indicate AI. However, in medical institutions where ACTH is in short supply, using low dose (1 µg) ACTH (LDT) instead of 250 µg may be a viable option (1). If the ACTH stimulation test is not accessible, serum morning cortisol of < 5 µg/dL (< 140 nmol/L) may be employed as a preliminary test to suggest AI (1).

Multiple studies have proposed simple alternative measurements to diagnose AI, e.g., serum basal cortisol level with an upper level of > 16.3 µg/dL (450 nmol/L) and lower level of < 3.6 µg/dL (100 nmol/L) (2). Other studies have proposed new cut-off values for serum morning cortisol as well as suggesting additional diagnostic variables including the indications for ACTH stimulation testing and a history of glucocorticoid use to help identify the occurrence of AI (3). Other studies have suggested performing only a 30-minute cortisol test after ACTH injection (4, 5).

The peak time for measurement of serum cortisol, at 30 or 60 minutes, using LDT and HDT is still inconclusive (6, 7). Performing only a 30- or a 60-minute cortisol test cannot definitively diagnose AI and can potentially result in over-diagnosis of AI. A previous study reported that 24% of patients would be misclassified as having AI if only the 30-minute cortisol test were performed because they attained peak serum cortisol at 60 minutes, while with only the 60-minute test, only 9% of patients would be wrongly classified as AI (8). Using the difference between serum basal cortisol at 0 minutes (baseline) and at 30 minutes after ACTH (delta cortisol) stimulation instead of the absolute value of cortisol might provide an accurate diagnosis while reducing the quantity and frequency of blood drawn as well as saving time and reducing costs.

The objectives of our study were a) to compare the diagnostic accuracy of 30 and 60 minutes serum cortisol for the diagnosis of AI and b) to determine the upper and lower cut-off levels of serum 30 minute delta cortisol for the diagnosis of AI categorized by the dose of ACTH used in the stimulation tests.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This 6-year retrospective study during the period of January 2010–January 2016 was conducted at the Endocrine and Metabolism Unit of Chiang Mai University Hospital, Thailand using data retrieved from electronic hospital medical records. The study protocol was approved by the Faculty of Medicine Ethics Committee, Chiang Mai University. The study protocol, inclusion and exclusion criteria have been previously mentioned and has been used in a previous study (9).

Data collected included patient demographics, indications for administration of ACTH stimulation tests, biochemical data obtained within 3 months prior to or following ACTH stimulation tests and the results of ACTH stimulation tests. When more than one test had been performed on a patient, only the first test was included in the study.
ACTH stimulation testing protocol

Following the protocol of our institution, patients who had serum 0800 h cortisol between 3-17.9 µg/dL and were therefore suspected of having AI were given ACTH stimulation tests to confirm the AI diagnosis. This group of patients included those with evidence of pituitary or hypothalamic diseases (e.g. adenoma, hypophysitis, empty sella syndrome, infiltrative disease) based on MRI imaging; those with evidence of adrenal gland diseases identified from CT imaging (e.g. post-adrenalectomy, infiltrative disease, adrenal gland metastasis); those with a history of glucocorticoids use or use of other medications adulterated with glucocorticoids; and those having signs and/or symptoms of AI or biochemical suggestion of AI. Only LDT (1 µg) was used during January 2010–March 2014 as there was an ACTH shortage in Thailand; HDT was employed from April 2014–January 2016. Patients receiving glucocorticoids or other traditional medicine suspected of containing glucocorticoids were instructed to withhold those substances at least 24-48 hours before testing. All tests were performed at the outpatient clinic between 0900 h-1300 h by medical nurses. In the test, serum basal cortisol at 0 minute was determined. That was followed by ACTH administered intravenously, either 1 µg or 250 µg, after which serum cortisol at 30 and 60 minutes were determined. The 1 µg ACTH ampules were prepared by pharmacists under sterile conditions. The 250 µg ampules of ACTH were diluted with normal saline and stored at 2-8°C. Ampules were used within 60 days (1, 10). Serum cortisol assay was performed by electrochemiluminescence immunoassay (ECLIA) using Elecsys® (Roche Diagnostic, Laval, Quebec) with the intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation for serum cortisol of <10%.

Definitions

AI was defined as a peak serum cortisol level <18 µg/dL at either 30 or 60 minutes after ACTH stimulation testing (1). Serum morning cortisol was measured from samples specifically drawn at 0800 h; serum basal cortisol samples were drawn any time before ACTH was administered and prior to the dynamic tests. Serum 30- and 60-minute delta cortisol levels were defined as the difference between the value of basal cortisol (0 minute) and cortisol level at 30 and 60 minutes, respectively. A history of traditional medicine use was described as a history of using any herbal or traditional medicine suspected of being adulterated with glucocorticoids. Signs and symptoms of AI included fatigue, weight loss, syncope, intractable nausea/vomiting and orthostatic hypotension which were documented in the patient’s medical chart. Biochemical investigation results suggestive of AI were defined as hyponatremia (serum sodium <135 mEq/L), hypoglycemia (serum glucose <55 mg/dL), hyperkalemia (serum potassium >4.5 mEq/L), hypereosinophilia (total eosinophil levels >1,500/µL of blood) and lymphocytosis (total lymphocytes >4,000/µL of blood).

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed by STATA version 15.1 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA). Categorical variables are presented as counts and percentages; continuous variables as means and standard deviation (SDs). For inferential statistics, categorical variables were analyzed by Fisher exact test and continuous variables by t-test or Mann-Whitney U test. The associations between AI and 30- and 60-minute serum delta cortisol levels was analyzed using a univariable and multivariable logistic regression model and are reported as odds ratios (ORs). The areas under the ROC curve (AuROC) of the model were plotted to discriminate diagnostic performance of each value categorized by the ACTH dosage used in the stimulation tests. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), likelihood ratio of positive results (LHR+), likelihood ratio of negative results (LHR-) as well as the number of true positives (TP), false negatives (FN), false positives (FP) and true negatives (TN) are reported for each proposed cut-off point. Proposed cut-off levels were set at an intervals of 0.9 µg/dL (25 nmol/L). The cut-off value which gave the highest sensitivity and the lowest number of FN results was classified as the appropriate upper cut-off level while those with the highest specificity with the lowest number of FP results were classified as the appropriate lower cut-off level. The statistical significance level was set as two-tailed with a P-value < 0.05.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

Baseline characteristics are depicted in Table 1. Of the 471 patients (226 male and 245 females) included in the study who were at risk for AI, 23.8% (n = 112/471) were identified as having AI. The mean age of the AI group was significantly higher than the normal adrenal response group (non-AI group). The most common indication for ACTH stimulation testing was the presence of AI symptoms (33.7%, n = 159/471). Diagnosis of AI was significantly higher in patients for whom a history of exogenous steroid use, post-pituitary surgery or pituitary tumor was the indication for testing. No significant difference in the prevalence of AI was observed between LDT and HDT. Among the other biochemical values measured, significantly lower serum albumin and serum creatinine and a significantly higher incidence of hyperkalemia were observed in patients with AI than those in the non-AI group.

Demographic and biochemical data categorized by LDT and HDT and by peak serum cortisol at 30 and 60 minutes after ACTH stimulation tests are shown in Supplementary Table 1 and 2. The majority of patients in both the LDT and HDT groups showed peak serum cortisol at 60 minutes after ACTH stimulation testing. A significantly higher number of patients with peak serum cortisol at 60 minutes was found in the HDT group than in the LDT group (91.9%, n = 262/285 and 79.1%, n = 147/186, respectively). In the LDT group, if only a 30-minute cortisol level were performed on the patients with peak cortisol at 60 minutes, 6.8% (n = 10/147) of the patients with normal adrenal function would have been incorrectly classified as having AI. In the HDT group, if only 30-minute cortisol levels were acquired, approximately 13.7% (n = 36/262) of the patients with normal adrenal function would have been misclassified as having AI. The box-plot for serum basal, 30- and 60-minute delta cortisol categorized by LDT and HDT is depicted in Supplementary Figure 1.

Serum delta cortisol levels and predictive performance for adrenal insufficiency

Based on multivariable analysis adjusted for age, sex, serum albumin, cholesterol, creatinine and ACTH dose, serum delta cortisol at both 30 and 60 minutes after ACTH stimulation tests demonstrated a significant association with AI. All ORs were less than 1, which suggests the lower the delta cortisol, the higher the likelihood of having AI (Table 2).

Evaluation of diagnostic performance by AuROC found serum delta cortisol at 60 minutes had similar performance with serum delta cortisol at 30 minutes for both LDT and HDT (p = 0.877 and 0.687, respectively). The AuROCs for 30- and 60-minute delta cortisol were higher than 0.8 for both (Figure 1 and Table 3).
Table 1 Demographic and biochemical factors categorized by adrenal insufficiency (n = 112) and normal adrenal response (n = 359)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Low dose ACTH stimulation test (n = 186)</th>
<th>High dose ACTH stimulation test (n = 286)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adrenal insufficiency, N (%)</td>
<td>38 (20.4)</td>
<td>74 (25.9)</td>
<td>0.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak cortisol at 30 minutes, N (%)</td>
<td>39 (20.9)</td>
<td>23 (8.3)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak cortisol at 60 minutes, N (%)</td>
<td>147 (79.1)</td>
<td>262 (91.9)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (Mean ± SD) (years)</td>
<td>51.5 ± 17.4</td>
<td>49.8 ± 17.4</td>
<td>0.301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Male</td>
<td>65 (34.9)</td>
<td>162 (56.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Female</td>
<td>121 (65.0)</td>
<td>124 (43.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Weight (mean ± SD) (kg)</td>
<td>60.2 ± 12.2</td>
<td>60.9 ± 15.1</td>
<td>0.638</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Underlying Diseases, N (%)

- Autoimmune Diseases: 18 (16.1)
  - Prednisolone: 17 (15.2)
  - Dexamethasone: 2 (1.8)
- Diabetes Mellitus: 11 (9.8)
- Hypertension: 11 (9.8)
- Coronary Artery Disease: 2 (1.8)
- Malnutrition: 2 (1.8)
- Other underlying diseases: 83 (74.1)

Indication for Testing, N (%)

- Exogenous Steroid Use: 54 (48.2)
  - Prednisolone: 2 (17.2)
   - Dexamethasone: 2 (1.8)
   - Herb or Traditional Medicine Use: 33 (24.3)
- Post-Pituitary Surgery: 14 (12.5)
- Pituitary Tumor: 11 (9.8)
- Other Pituitary Hormonal Deficiencies: 28 (25.0)
- Symptoms and Biochemical Suggestive of Adrenal Insufficiency: 37 (33.0)

Baseline SBP (mean ± SD) (mmHg)

- Baseline SBP (mean ± SD) (mmHg): 120.4 ± 22.6
- Baseline DBP (mean ± SD) (mmHg): 71.5 ± 15.9

ACTH Stimulation Dose, N (%)

- 1 µg: 38 (33.9)
- 250 µg: 74 (66.1)

Serum Morning Cortisol (mean ± SD) (µg/dL)

- 7.9 ± 2.9
- 9.6 ± 3.3

Serum Basal Cortisol (mean ± SD) (µg/dL)

- 6.2 ± 3.2
- 10.9 ± 5.4

Cortisol at 30 Min (mean ± SD) (µg/dL)

- 11.7 ± 3.8
- 23.9 ± 5.9

Cortisol at 60 Min (mean ± SD) (µg/dL)

- 6.5 ± 3.5
- 15.7 ± 5.5

30-Min Delta Cortisol (mean ± SD) (µg/dL)

- 5.5 ± 2.8
- 13.1 ± 4.8

60-Min Delta Cortisol (mean ± SD) (µg/dL)

- 6.5 ± 3.5
- 15.7 ± 5.6

Serum Albumin (mean ± SD) (g/dL)

- 3.6 ± 0.8
- 3.9 ± 0.6

Serum Cholesterol (mean ± SD) (mg/dL)

- 177.9 ± 58.0
- 185.6 ± 58.0

Serum Creatinine (mean ± SD) (mg/dL)

- 1.1 ± 1.0
- 0.9 ± 0.7

Hypoglycemia, N (%)   2 (1.8) 12 (3.3) 0.397

Hyperkalemia, N (%)  6 (6.7) 17 (6.1) 0.857

Hypertension, N (%) 46 (40.8) 177 (49.5) 0.304

Hypothyroidism, N (%) 8 (7.1) 11 (9.8) 0.558

Other Pituitary Hormonal Deficiencies: 28 (25.0)

Symptoms and Biochemical Suggestive of Adrenal Insufficiency: 37 (33.0)

Adrenal insufficiency, N (%) 38 (20.4) 74 (25.9) 0.168

Peak cortisol at 30 minutes, N (%) 39 (20.9) 23 (8.3) < 0.001

Peak cortisol at 60 minutes, N (%) 147 (79.1) 262 (91.9) < 0.001

Age (Mean ± SD) (years) 51.5 ± 17.4 49.8 ± 17.4 0.301

Gender, N (%) 65 (34.9) 162 (56.6) 0.060

Male: 121 (65.0) 124 (43.4) < 0.001

Female: 28 (15.6) 28 (9.8) 0.057

Diabetes Mellitus: 28 (15.1) 39 (13.7) 0.677

Hypertension: 42 (22.6) 68 (23.7) 0.748

Coronary Artery Disease: 13 (6.99) 10 (3.51) 0.087

Malignancy: 3 (1.6) 9 (3.1) 0.304

Other underlying diseases: 144 (77.4) 170 (59.7) < 0.001

Indication for Testing, N (%)

- Exogenous Steroid Use: 63 (33.9) 61 (21.3) 0.002
- Post-Pituitary Surgery: 35 (18.4) 58 (20.3) 0.696
- Pituitary Tumor: 3 (1.6) 12 (4.2) 0.118
- Other Pituitary Hormonal Deficiencies: 59 (31.7) 80 (27.9) 0.383
- Symptoms of Adrenal Insufficiency: 77 (41.4) 82 (28.6) 0.004

Baseline SBP (mean ± SD) (mmHg)

- Baseline SBP (mean ± SD) (mmHg): 121.6 ± 20.9
- Baseline DBP (mean ± SD) (mmHg): 74.1 ± 11.9

Serum Morning Cortisol (mean ± SD) (µg/dL)

- 9.3 ± 3.5
- 9.7 ± 3.2

Serum Basal Cortisol (mean ± SD) (µg/dL)

- 10.2 ± 6.1
- 9.5 ± 4.7

Cortisol at 30 Min (mean ± SD) (µg/dL)

- 22.6 ± 7.9
- 20.1 ± 7.3

Cortisol at 60 Min (mean ± SD) (µg/dL)

- 24.1 ± 8.5
- 22.7 ± 8.3

30-Min Delta Cortisol (mean ± SD) (µg/dL)

- 12.4 ± 5.7
- 10.6 ± 5.2

60-Min Delta Cortisol (mean ± SD) (µg/dL)

- 14.3 ± 6.8
- 13.3 ± 6.3

Serum Albumin (mean ± SD) (g/dL)

- 3.7 ± 0.7
- 3.9 ± 0.6

Serum Cholesterol (mean ± SD) (mg/dL)

- 193.4 ± 65.8
- 181.7 ± 54.1

Serum Creatinine (mean ± SD) (mg/dL)

- 0.9 ± 0.7
- 0.9 ± 0.9

Systolic blood pressure: 121.6 ± 20.9

DBP: 74.1 ± 11.9

Systolic blood pressure: 121.0 ± 20.4

DBP: 72.7 ± 14.5

Systolic blood pressure: 0.782

DBP: 0.305
Table 2  Univariable and multivariable model for serum delta cortisol at 30 and 60 minutes to predict adrenal insufficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Univariable</th>
<th>Multivariable*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Odd ratio (95% CI)</td>
<td><em>P</em>-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum delta cortisol at 30 minutes</td>
<td>0.98 (0.97-0.99)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum delta cortisol at 60 minutes</td>
<td>0.98 (0.98-0.99)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for age, sex, serum albumin, cholesterol, creatinine and ACTH dose

Table 3. Comparison of AuROC between serum delta cortisol at 30 and 60 minutes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>AuROC</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th><em>P</em>-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LDT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum delta cortisol at 30 minutes vs Serum delta cortisol at 60 minutes</td>
<td>0.91 vs 0.90</td>
<td>(0.88-0.94) vs (0.88-0.93)</td>
<td>0.877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum delta cortisol at 30 minutes vs Serum delta cortisol at 60 minutes</td>
<td>0.91 vs 0.92</td>
<td>(0.89-0.94) vs (0.90-0.94)</td>
<td>0.687</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LDT : Low dose ACTH stimulation test  
HDT : High dose ACTH stimulation test
Proposed cut-off levels for 30-minute serum delta cortisol

As the diagnostic accuracy of delta 30- and 60-minute cortisol was similar, only delta cortisol at 30 minutes was used in the analysis for proposed cut-off levels. Data for each cut-off level categorized by LDT and HDT are depicted in Table 4.

For LDT, the lower cut-off for 30-minute delta cortisol to rule in AI which gave the highest specificity (99.3%) was Δ < 1.8 µg/dL, while the upper cut-off to rule out AI which gave the highest sensitivity (97.4%) was Δ > 11.8 µg/dL. Delta cortisol levels were below the cut-off in 1.6% of cases (n = 3/186), while they were above the cut-off in 42.5% of cases (n = 79/186). Similarly, for HDT the lower cut-off for 30-minute delta cortisol to rule in AI which gave the highest specificity (99.4%) was Δ < 1.8 µg/dL, while the upper cut-off to rule out AI which had the highest sensitivity (98.6%) was Δ > 10.5 µg/dL. Delta cortisol levels were below the cut-off in 49.5% of cases (n = 141/285), while levels were above the cut-off in 1.6% of cases (n = 9/285) in HDT. The proposed upper and lower cut-off levels resulted in only one FP and one FN, respectively. Other proposed cut-off levels for LDT and HDT also gave high sensitivity and specificity (around 90%) (Table 4). If the proposed serum delta cortisol cut-off levels were used, 58% (n = 108/186) of the LDT and 53% (n = 151/285) of the HDT patients would have been appropriately categorized.

When the above mentioned cut-off levels were used in the patients who attained peak serum cortisol at 60 minutes which is the group concerning for AI over-diagnosis, increased sensitivity and specificity were observed. With LDT using the lower cut-off level of Δ < 1.8 µg/dL, the specificity was 100% ; the upper cut-off level of Δ > 11.8 µg/dL also had a sensitivity of 100%. With HDT, the lower cut-off level of Δ < 1.8 µg/dL revealed a specificity of 99.5%, while the upper cut-off level of Δ > 10.5 µg/dL gave a similar sensitivity result of 98.6%. If only a 30-minute cortisol levels had been acquired during LDT, n = 10/147 or, in HDT, n = 36/262 patients would have been incorrectly classified as having AI. If the upper and lower cut-off levels had been used with both LDT and with HDT, all patients in both groups would have been correctly identified as non-AI.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study highlights the important finding that serum delta cortisol at both 30 and 60 minutes after ACTH stimulation tests can predict the occurrence of AI with a high degree of diagnostic accuracy. No significant differences in diagnostic accuracy between the two groups were observed. For the health care practitioner, using only 30-minute cortisol to calculate the delta cortisol levels offers the benefits of increased convenience, being less invasive and requiring less time while providing high specificity and sensitivity for ruling in and ruling out AI.

Both 30- and 60-minute delta cortisol demonstrated 'excellent' overall diagnostic accuracy with AuROC of between 90-100% (11). The justification for using the “delta” value of serum cortisol after ACTH stimulation tests is a study which demonstrated that using the absolute single-time serum cortisol value, especially at 30 minutes, may increase the number of false positives for AI (8, 12). Thus using delta cortisol levels rather than absolute levels of cortisol may have high performance in AI diagnosis. The use of serum delta cortisol in AI diagnosis has been reported in multiple reports but those results have been inhomogeneous. For example, a study by Struja et al. stated that delta cortisol does not offer any added information compared to baseline cortisol alone. They found that delta cortisol was inferior to basal cortisol with AUCs of 0.85 (95% CI : 0.81-0.89) and 0.88 (95% CI : 0.83-0.92), respectively (2). Delta cortisol has also been proposed for use in critically ill patients. Using serum delta cortisol after HDT in critically-ill patients with a cut-off level of Δ < 9 µg/dL after peak.

**Table 4** Proposed cut-off levels and the diagnostic accuracy for 30-minute delta cortisol levels categorized by low dose and high dose ACTH stimulation test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level (µg/dL)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (95%CI)</th>
<th>Specificity (95%CI)</th>
<th>PPV (%)</th>
<th>NPV (%)</th>
<th>LHR+</th>
<th>LHR-</th>
<th>TP (n)</th>
<th>FN (n)</th>
<th>FP (n)</th>
<th>TN (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 minutes delta cortisol for LDT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>· Lower</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ &lt; 1.8</td>
<td>5.3 (0.6-17.7)</td>
<td>99.3 (96.9-99.9)</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>80.3</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ &lt; 2.7</td>
<td>13.2 (4.4-28.1)</td>
<td>98.0 (94.2-99.6)</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>6.49</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ &lt; 3.6</td>
<td>26.3 (13.4-43.1)</td>
<td>97.3 (93.2-99.3)</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>9.74</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ &lt; 4.5</td>
<td>31.6 (17.5-48.7)</td>
<td>97.3 (93.2-99.3)</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>11.68</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ &lt; 5.4</td>
<td>47.4 (31.0-64.2)</td>
<td>93.2 (87.9-96.7)</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>87.3</td>
<td>7.01</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>· Upper</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ &gt; 11.8</td>
<td>97.4 (86.2-99.9)</td>
<td>71.6 (63.6-78.7)</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ &gt; 10.9</td>
<td>94.7 (82.3-99.4)</td>
<td>77.7 (70.1-84.1)</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ &gt; 9.9</td>
<td>94.7 (82.3-99.4)</td>
<td>79.7 (72.3-85.9)</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ &gt; 9.0</td>
<td>89.5 (75.2-97.1)</td>
<td>82.4 (75.3-88.4)</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 minutes delta cortisol for HDT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>· Lower</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ &lt; 1.8</td>
<td>10.8 (4.8-20.2)</td>
<td>99.4 (97.4-99.9)</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>22.81</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ &lt; 2.7</td>
<td>20.3 (11.8-31.2)</td>
<td>98.6 (95.9-99.7)</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>14.26</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ &lt; 3.6</td>
<td>27.0 (17.4-38.6)</td>
<td>96.7 (93.9-98.7)</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ &lt; 4.5</td>
<td>35.1 (24.4-47.1)</td>
<td>94.3 (90.3-97.0)</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ &lt; 5.4</td>
<td>52.7 (40.7-64.4)</td>
<td>93.8 (89.7-96.7)</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>8.55</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>· Upper</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ &gt; 10.5</td>
<td>98.6 (92.7-99.9)</td>
<td>67.8 (61.0-74.0)</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ &gt; 9.9</td>
<td>91.9 (83.2-97.0)</td>
<td>71.1 (64.5-77.1)</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ &gt; 9.0</td>
<td>87.8 (78.2-94.3)</td>
<td>78.2 (72.0-83.6)</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LDT: Low dose ACTH stimulation test
HDT: High dose ACTH stimulation test
serum cortisol can help diagnose critical illness-related corticosteroid-induced adrenal insufficiency conditions (13). However, this concept can be applied only in a critically ill setting.

Specific patterns in peak serum cortisol were demonstrated in the present study. Although most of the patients attained peak cortisol at 60 minutes, which is in agreement with a study by Cartaya et al. (8), we postulated that using only a 30-minute cortisol level could facilitate AI diagnosis as it would be less time-consuming, simpler and less expensive as only two blood samples are required (basal cortisol and 30-minutes serum cortisol). However, using only a 30-minute cortisol level raises concern regarding AI overdiagnosis in 6-14% of patients, particularly in patients who had undergone HDT. In order to reduce the incidence of false positives that could result in inappropriate treatment with corticosteroids and which, in turn, could lead to multiple long-term complications, we proposed upper and lower cut-offs for serum delta cortisol levels. Although one study reported that mean cortisol levels with LDT and HDT at 30 minutes were not different (12), other studies have reported different accuracy levels and time variabilities between LDT and HDT (14, 15). For that reason, this study used different 30-minute delta cortisol cut-off values for LDT and for HDT.

With both LDT and HDT, if the proposed 30-minute delta cortisol had been utilized all patients who formerly fell into the AI over-diagnosed group when only a 30-minute cortisol was employed would have been correctly identified as having no AI. These results suggest that our proposed cut-off levels and utilizing only 30-minute serum cortisol testing can help reduce the incidence of AI over-diagnosis. For LDT in particular, multiple studies have recommended 30-minute cortisol values as the test of choice to evaluate AI (4, 5, 16). However, data on the use of HDT and only 30-minute cortisol are still inconclusive. Only one study has reported benefits from using 30-minute cortisol in HDT to diagnose AI, while other studies have reported no diagnostic value and a very high false positive rate using this value in isolation (17-19). If our upper and lower proposed cut-off levels for 30-minute delta cortisol levels were employed with all the patients included in this study, approximately 58% of the LDT and 53% of the HDT patients would have been appropriately categorized. This would reduce by more than half the need to perform other cortisol tests or to draw specimens at 60 minutes. For those reasons, we recommend performing only a 30-minute cortisol level and calculating delta cortisol levels. If serum 30-minute cortisol levels are undiagnosed or if delta cortisol levels fall between the upper and lower cut-off levels (intermediate range), then 60-minute cortisol may be determined. This proposed measure provides markedly high diagnostic performance for AI and could be easily used in normal clinical practice.

The reason for choosing the upper cut-off level which gave the highest sensitivity to rule-out AI was that AI is a potentially lethal condition if left untreated and thus requires timely diagnosis. There is a need for a tool which provides high sensitivity to minimize the number of false negative AI diagnoses. Likewise, the lower cut-off values to rule in AI were the values which gave the highest specificity with the lowest incidence of false positives. Treating patients with glucocorticoids who may not need these medications may cause harm, e.g., physical and metabolic changes such as osteoporosis.

The present study has multiple strengths. We found that the use of delta cortisol after ACTH stimulation testing yielded excellent accuracy in AI diagnosis. In particular, 30-minute cortisol is beneficial in terms of increased convenience as well as saving time and expense. The proposed cut-off for 30-minute cortisol could make these findings more widely applicable in actual practice. As most of the peaks in serum cortisol after an ACTH stimulation test occur at 60 minutes, the likelihood of having a false positive diagnosis of AI is high if only 30-minute cortisol level testing is performed. The cut-off levels that we have presented could diminish these diagnostic errors. Confounding variables in the multivariable analysis model were appropriately adjusted for, including serum albumin, cholesterol and creatinine levels which various studies have demonstrated to be associated with serum cortisol interference (20-22). Another strength is the large sample size with an adequate power of >80% (23).

We acknowledge some limitations in this study. The proposed cut-off levels were based on the Roche diagnostic ECLIA assay for cortisol which has been reported as one of the three most commonly used cortisol assays in United Kingdom (24). Previous report has demonstrated that in a comparison of five modern immunosassays for serum cortisol post-ACTH stimulation tests using mass spectrometry as a reference method, the serum cortisol responses in healthy volunteers were assay- and gender-specific (25). As an example of the diversity of response, in healthy individuals, the post-ACTH cortisol levels have been reported to ranged from 19.6 µg/dL (542 nmol/L) in females with the Architect assay to 27.9 µg/dL (772 nmol/L) in males with the Roche Diagnostic assay (25). Thus, the results in the present study may not be directly applicable for institutes using assays other than the Roche diagnostic ECLIA assay. These results should be applied only with the subgroup with indeterminate serum 0800 h cortisol levels between 3-17.9 µg/dL as that is the only population included in the analysis. The gold standard for diagnosing AI, i.e., the insulin tolerance test, was not used in this study. The ACTH stimulation test cannot diagnose patients with partial or recent secondary AI, so the AI status of those patients cannot be determined based solely on the ACTH stimulation test. The specific time at which the ACTH stimulation test was performed was not documented. Only the period (0900 h-1300 hr) was recorded. As levels of serum basal cortisol exhibit a diurnal variation, it may have affected the delta cortisol levels in those whose ACTH stimulation tests were conducted after noon (26). In the present study, ACTH level tests were not performed in all patients who had been diagnosed with AI. The causes of AI in the present study were based solely on clinical suggestions. The population included in this study were varied in indications for, including serum albumin, cholesterol and creatinine levels in the multivariable analysis model were appropriately adjusted for, and recent secondary AI, so the AI status of those patients cannot be diagnosed and validated these results.

CONCLUSIONS

Serum delta cortisol, especially the 30-minute delta cortisol level from ACTH stimulation tests, can facilitate AI diagnosis. The cut-off levels proposed for 30-minute delta cortisol yield high accuracy. Using the proposed values of 30-minute delta cortisol levels offers increased simplicity for healthcare practitioners and the patients, although 60-minute serum cortisol level may be needed for almost half of the patients. Further prospective study is needed to confirm and validate these results.
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