INTRODUCTION

Differential diagnosis of brain tumors mainly involves the use of computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). These modalities provide morphological information, and it is sometimes difficult to predict the pathological grade based on morphological information alone. Single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) can provide important functional/metabolic information, such as details regarding tumor activity, cell proliferation and blood flow. Thallium-201 ($^{201}$TI) SPECT has been widely used in tumor imaging in the brain, soft tissue (1), thyroid gland, lung and bone. With brain tumors, $^{201}$TI has been used for tumor localization (2, 3), the grading of tumor malignancy and differentiation of tumor recurrence from radiation necrosis (4-6). Semi-quantitative assessment using the retention index (RI) has been reported for the grading of brain tumor malignancy (7, 8).

The aim of our study was to evaluate the usefulness of visual and semi-quantitative assessment using $^{201}$TI-SPECT to differentiate benign from malignant brain tumors.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

This retrospective study was approved by the institutional review board of Tokushima University Hospital.

Patients

Patient data are shown in Table 1. $^{201}$Tl-SPECT studies were performed on 88 patients with brain tumors (44 males and 44 females, aged between 3 and 80 years old, with a mean age of 55 years) between September 2005 and February 2011. Fifty-eight of the 88 lesions were high-grade (WHO grade III-IV) tumors and 30 were low-grade (WHO grade I-II) tumors. Eighty-five tumors were histologically confirmed in accordance with WHO directives (9) and three lesions were clinically diagnosed as meningiomas. The most common high-grade tumor was a glioblastoma, while most low-grade tumors were meningiomas.

$^{201}$Tl-SPECT

SPECT images were obtained during the early (15 min) and delayed (3 h) phases after the intravenous injection of 60-111 MBq of $^{201}$Tl-Cl by means of a two-head gamma camera (E.CAM, Toshiba Medical Systems, Japan). The system was equipped with fanbeam collimators. We used a 15 and 20% symmetrical window at 166 and 70 keV, respectively. A 64 x 64 matrix with a Butterworth filter was used for correction, and images were reconstructed in the transverse plane using a Ramp filter.

Visual assessment

First, the tumors were classified in two groups (Tl-positive and Tl-negative) by two board-certificated radiologists. Second, three board-certificated radiologists (two double-board certified [diagnostic radiology and nuclear medicine]) scored the SPECT images in the Tl-positive group using a five grade evaluation system (1: benign; 2: possibly benign; 3: unknown whether benign or malignant, 4: possibly malignant, and 5: malignant). The radiologists did not use MRI and CT images and evaluated only the early and delayed phase of $^{201}$Tl-SPECT. A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was performed.

The radiologists noticed the following facts regarding $^{201}$Tl-SPECT of brain tumors: there was high Tl-uptake in malignant tumors during both the early and delayed phase, and wash-out in the delayed phase was low; Tl-uptake was low to moderate in benign tumors other than meningioma; and meningioma can show high early Tl-uptake and wash-out in the delayed phase. The radiologists were blinded to the final diagnosis (pathological and clinical) and the characteristics of the patient population including the number of lesions in each group.

Semi-quantitative assessment

Mean and maximum count of the region of interest (ROI) in both the early and delayed image were measured (Figure 1). ROIs of the tumors were manually placed over the area showing the greatest activity on MRI for reference. ROIs of normal brain parenchyma were placed over the contralateral regions. The ROIs of normal brain parenchyma were set in the anterior-posterior region in the same slice of the midline lesions. The

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Patient data.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low-grade tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(WHO grade I-II)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 cases</td>
<td>(3 cases was diagnosed meningiomas clinically)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meningioma (angiomatous, meningotheial)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astrocytoma (Diffuse, Pilocytic, Subependymal giant cell)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligodendroglioma</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemangioblastoma</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ependymoma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganglioglioma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central neurocytoma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioma (grade II)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwannoma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
early and delayed $^{201}$TI uptake ratios were calculated, and the RI was calculated as $\text{RI} = \frac{\text{DR}}{\text{ER}}$. RI is usually calculated by using the mean value of the lesions (L) and background (BG) in the ROI. This method indicates that $\text{RI} = \frac{\text{Lmean}}{\text{BGmean}}$. In the present study three combinations of RI were calculated using the mean and maximum values of the ROI: $\text{RImean} = \frac{\text{Lmean}}{\text{BGmean}}$, $\text{RImax} = \frac{\text{Lmax}}{\text{BGmax}}$, and $\text{RImaxmean} = \frac{\text{Lmax}}{\text{BGmean}}$.

RESULTS

Visual assessment

Representative images are illustrated in Figure 2. Seventy-four tumors were classified as Tl-positive and 14 as Tl-negative. The Tl-negative tumors are shown in Table 2. Ten of the 14 Tl-negative tumors (71%) were low-grade tumors (grade I-II), and four were high-grade tumors (grade III). The ROC analysis for the visual assessment obtained using scoring data are shown in Figure 3. The area under the curve (AUC) estimated by the three board-certificated radiologists exceeded 0.7. Reader B and C were double-board-certificated (diagnostic radiology and nuclear medicine) radiologists. The years of experience of radiologist B were greater than those of radiologist C. The score values estimated by the more experienced radiologist was greater than those of the less experienced radiologist.
Semi-quantitative assessment

The distribution of the retention index (RI) according to the WHO grade classification was shown in Figure 4. RI showed a significant difference between grade I tumors and other grade tumors (grade II, III, IV). The difference between grade II tumors and high grade tumors (grade III, IV) was not statistically significant.

There was a statistically significant difference in the RI of high-grade tumors and low-grade tumors (Figure 5).

The difference of the RI between high-grade tumors and low-grade tumors was statistically significant with all three types of RI (Figure 6). A more accurate result was obtained when the background (BG) used the mean value of the ROI.

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was performed in the three types of RI (Figure 7). The ROC curves for the three types of RI were similar. The area under the curve (AUC) estimated by RI Lmean/BGmean was 0.69, RI Lmax/BGmax was 0.65, RI Lmax/BGmean was 0.68. A cut-off value of
The distribution of $^{201}$Tl is related to blood-brain barrier (BBB) permeability, regional blood flow, cell proliferation and Na-K ATPase (10, 11). $^{201}$Tl uptake in the tumors in early images depends on BBB dysfunction and increased regional blood flow. This occurs via a mechanism similar to Gd-DTPA enhancement of MRI. The accumulation of $^{201}$Tl in malignant tumors was usually high in the early phase. However, four high-grade tumors (grade III) demonstrated no accumulation of $^{201}$Tl. Three of the four high-grade tumors with no accumulation of $^{201}$Tl showed no Gd-DTPA enhancement of MRI. A very small malignant lesion close to the calvarium was underestimated as Tl-negative because of the space resolution of SPECT and normal physiological uptake in the skull.

The ROC analysis of the visual assessment by scoring showed that the accuracy for differentiating benign from malignant tumors using only $^{201}$Tl-SPECT was more than 70% by all radiologists, and was higher in the case of the more experienced radiologist. All radiologists overestimated the four low-grade tumors as being high-grade (3 meningiomas and 1 central neurocytoma). All of these tumors exhibited a strong accumulation of $^{201}$Tl in the early and delayed phase. Takeda et al. reported that clinically an atypical meningioma (WHO grade II) behaved like a malignant tumor (12). MIB1 and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) expression correlated with the aggressive nature of tumors. The delayed Tl index of atypical meningioma was significantly higher than that of the other pathological types. There was a significant correlation between the Tl uptake index in the delayed image and the MIB1 labeling index. Meningiomas that were strongly positive for VEGF demonstrated a significantly higher Tl uptake in both the early image and the delayed image, in comparison to meningiomas that were weakly positive for VEGF. MRI was helpful in differentiating meningioma from other tumors, when the tumor showed high Tl uptake in both the early and delayed phase, using the typical MRI findings of a meningioma.

The results of the semi-quantitative assessment showed that the difference in RI between high-grade tumors and low-grade tumors was statistically significant for all RIs. A more accurate result was obtained when the background (BG) used the mean value of the ROI. The Tl uptake value was underestimated by the mean value of ROI when the lesion included an area of necrosis and a cystic lesion inside the tumor. Some studies have set the cut-off value at 0.7 to differentiate malignant from benign brain tumors (13). The sensitivity was 85.2% and the specificity was 50% when the cut-off value was set at 0.6 for the RI in the current study.

CONCLUSION

A visual and semi-quantitative assessment using $^{201}$Tl-SPECT was found to be useful for differentiating benign from malignant brain tumors. Most Tl-negative tumors are benign. Tl-negative malignant tumors often show no contrast enhancement on MRI. Thallium accumulation and contrast enhancement on MRI may have a close correlation. The retention index (RI) is useful to differentiate malignant Tl-positive tumors from benign lesions. However, there is RI overlap between malignant and benign tumors, thus it is necessary to combine the analysis with other imaging modalities, such as MRI.
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