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Is FDG-PET/CT useful for managing malignant pleural mesothelioma?
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Abstract: Objective: Imaging techniques such as CT, MRI and PET/CT have essential pre-and post-treatment roles in detecting tumors and evaluating the extension of malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM). We sought to evaluate the advantages and limitations on FDG-PET/CT findings. Patients and Methods: We performed 13 FDG-PET/CT studies in 9 patients with MPM (8 males, 1 female, aged 51 to 84 years, 9 at the initial diagnosis, 4 follow up studies). We reviewed FDG-PET/CT findings of primary tumors, recurrent tumors, lymph nodes, metastasis. Results: All primary and recurrent tumors were FDG positive. The uptake patterns at initial diagnosis were; diffuse+multi-nodular uptake pattern in 5, diffuse irregular thickened uptake pattern in 2, some focal thickened pattern in one, and a slight diffuse uptake pattern in one. Two of the 3 patients diagnosed as N0 by PET and operated on had negative lymph nodes confirmed pathologically. The other patient diagnosed as N0 by PET, who had one month of time lag between PET/CT examination and surgery, was confirmed as N2 by extrapleural pneumonectomy. In 3 patients, hilar or mediastinal lymph nodes were difficult to distinguish from irregular pleural thickening. One patient had a FDG positive lymph node in the ipsilateral supraclavicular region confirmed as metastasis (N3). One patient had a FDG positive lymph node in the para-aortic region. Lung metastasis was seen in one patient (M1). In another patient, two focal nodular uptakes in the colon were detected and confirmed as colon polyps (pathologically Group 3-4). At restaging, 3 of 4 patients showed diffuse+multi-nodular uptake and one patient showed multi-nodular uptake. Conclusions: The utility of FDG-PET/CT is limited for evaluation of primary tumor extension and nodal status. FDG-PET/CT is useful for detecting distant metastasis and for evaluating activity in supraclavicular or abdominal lymph nodes. It is also useful for identifying unsuspected diseases. J. Med. Invest. 56: 16-20, February, 2009
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INTRODUCTION

FDG-PET/CT can demonstrate tumor metabolism and morphology in a single session. Thus it has an important role in tumor imaging. PET/CT is also useful to differentiate malignant from benign lesions, define staging, detect recurrence at an early stage, and monitor therapeutic effects.

Malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM), which arises from the pleura and is the mostly associated with asbestos exposure, is a common neoplasm of mesothelial cells (1). MPM usually develops 20-40
years after exposure to asbestos. It can occur from direct occupational exposure as well as from indirect exposure. Its incidence has been increasing. Histologically, MPM is classified into three types: epithelial (60%), biphasic (30%), and sarcomatous (10%) types (2). The epithelial type is the most common and has the best prognosis. MPM is a very aggressive disease and invades to the chest wall, mediastinum, and diaphragm. MPM also metastasizes to hilar and mediastinal lymph nodes. Distant metastases occur in approximately 10-20% of patients. Imaging examinations are necessary for management of MPM patients.

We report our experience of FDG-PET/CT in MPM patients.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

A Summary of the patients is shown in Table 1. We performed 13 FDG-PET/CT studies in 9 patients with MPM (8 males, 1 female, aged 51 to 84 years, 9 at the initial diagnosis, 4 follow up studies). Five patients had a history of asbestos exposure (56%). Three of the 9 patients had extrapleural pneumonectomy and chemotherapy/radiation therapy. Four patients had only chemotherapy, and 2 patients refused any treatment. All patients fasted for at least 4 hours before the PET/CT scan and blood glucose levels were checked as being <150 mg/dl at the time of injection. 18F-FDG (3.7 MBq/kg of body weight) was administered intravenously using an automatic injection system. After FDG injection, patients rested on bed for one hour. Talking, walking, or other physical activities were prohibited to reduce unnecessary muscle uptake. One hour after injection, images were obtained using PET/CT machine equipped 16-slice multi-detector row CT.

We reviewed FDG-PET/CT findings of primary tumors, recurrent tumors, lymph nodes, metastases.

RESULTS

All primary and recurrent tumors were FDG positive. The uptake patterns of the 9 patients at initial diagnosis were; diffuse+multi-nodular uptake pattern in 5, diffuse irregular thickened uptake pattern in 2, some focal thickened pattern in one, and a slight diffuse uptake pattern in one. Two of the 3 patients diagnosed as N0 by PET and operated on had negative lymph nodes confirmed pathologically.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial diagnosis</th>
<th>age</th>
<th>gender</th>
<th>asbestos exposure</th>
<th>uptake pattern</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>cTNM</th>
<th>pTNM</th>
<th>SUVmax</th>
<th>other findings</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pt.1</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>+ DMN</td>
<td>epithelioid susp.</td>
<td>T2N0M0</td>
<td>not confirmed</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>chemo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pt.2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>- DMN</td>
<td>epithelioid</td>
<td>T3N2M1</td>
<td>not confirmed</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>paraaortic LN+</td>
<td>no (patient refused)</td>
<td>dead</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pt.3</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>+ DMN</td>
<td>epithelioid</td>
<td>T3N0M0</td>
<td>T3N0M0</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EPP+chemo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pt.4</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>+ DMN</td>
<td>biphasic</td>
<td>T3N2M0</td>
<td>T3N2M0</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>chemo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pt.5</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>+ DMN</td>
<td>biphasic</td>
<td>T3N0M0</td>
<td>T3N0M0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>colon polyp</td>
<td>EPP+RT</td>
<td>alive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pt.6</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>+ DI</td>
<td>uncertain</td>
<td>T3N0M0</td>
<td>not confirmed</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no (patient refused)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pt.7</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>- DI</td>
<td>biphasic</td>
<td>T4N2M0</td>
<td>not confirmed</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>chemo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pt.8</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>- FT</td>
<td>epithelioid</td>
<td>T2N0M1</td>
<td>T2N0M1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>lung mets</td>
<td>chemo</td>
<td>alive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pt.9</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>- SLD</td>
<td>epithelioid</td>
<td>T1N0M0</td>
<td>T3N2M0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EPP+chemo+RT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Follow up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>time after 1st PET</th>
<th>uptake pattern</th>
<th>SUVmax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pt.1</td>
<td>chemo</td>
<td>3 mo</td>
<td>DMN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pt.3</td>
<td>EPP+chemo</td>
<td>5 mo</td>
<td>MN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pt.5</td>
<td>EPP+RT</td>
<td>1 mo</td>
<td>DMN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pt.9</td>
<td>EPP+chemo+RT</td>
<td>9 mo</td>
<td>DMN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The other patient diagnosed as N0 by PET, had a one month time lag between PET/CT examination and surgery, was confirmed as N2 by extrapleural pneumonectomy. In 3 patients, hilar or mediastinal lymph nodes were difficult to distinguish from irregular pleural thickening. One patient had a FDG positive lymph node in the ipsilateral supraclavicular region confirmed as metastasis (N3). One patient had a FDG positive lymph node in the para-aortic region. Lung metastasis was seen in one patient (M1). In another patient, two focal nodular uptakes in the colon were detected and confirmed as colon polyps (Group 3-4).

At follow up studies (1-9 months after first PET/CT), local recurrence and disease progression was demonstrated as FDG positive. Three of 4 patients having follow up scans showed diffuse multi-nodular uptake while the other showed multi-nodular uptake.

CASE PRESENTATION

Patient 7 (Fig. 1) : A 77 year-old female with no history of direct asbestos exposure was admitted with left pleural effusion and pleural thickening. FDG-PET/CT clearly demonstrated intense diffuse thickened FDG uptake. It was difficult to differentiate a pleural lesion from hilar lymph node metastasis. No FDG positive lymph node was seen in the contralateral hilar and supraclavicular region. There was no evidence of distant metastasis.

Patient 5 (Fig. 2) : A 55 year-old male with a history of asbestos exposure was admitted with dyspnea. FDG-PET/CT demonstrated diffuse and multi-nodular uptake in the right pleura. No hilar or mediastinal lymph node abnormalities or distant metastasis were seen (N0 on FDG-PET/CT). He underwent an extrapleural pneumonectomy and pT3N0 was confirmed. Two focal uptakes were demonstrated in the pelvis corresponding to the rectum. Following our recommendation of colon fiberscopic examination, these uptakes confirmed as colon polyps (group 3-4).

Patient 9 (Fig. 3) : A 51 year-old male with no history of asbestos exposure was admitted with a prolonged right pleural effusion. FDG-PET/CT demonstrated a slight diffuse uptake along the pleura. There was no evidence of lymph node metastasis or distant metastasis. His disease progressed very rapidly and extrapleural pneumonectomy one month after PET/CT examination confirmed pT3N2. After 10 months, follow up PET/CT study clearly demonstrated recurrent lesions as FDG positive.
DISCUSSION

MPM is a very rapidly progressing disease and easily invades to adjacent structures such as the chest wall, mediastinum, and diaphragm. Lymph node metastases and/or distant metastases can occur to organs such as lungs, liver, adrenal glands, and brain. Prognosis is related to the extent of the primary tumor and metastases. Stage I and II patients can be candidates for surgery, while stage III and IV patients are considered unresectable. Surgery (extrapleural pneumonectomy, pleurectomy/decortication), radiation therapy, chemotherapy or their combination is often performed but the overall survival is low (3-5). Imaging techniques such as CT, MRI and PET/CT have essential pre- and post-treatment roles in detecting tumors and evaluating the extension of MPM (6). CT is the most widely used modality, but tends to underestimate tumor invasion and lymph node metastases (7, 8). MRI is superior for evaluating tumor involvement (9). Perfusion CT or perfusion MRI can demonstrate tumor microvasculature, while perfusion MRI is used to assess the effects of treatment (10). There are also reports concerning the utility of FDG-PET or FDG-PET/CT (6, 11, 12). PET may predict survival of MPM patients, and can detect extrathoracic metastases; thus assisting treatment planning. By using the uptake changes of FDG, PET can monitor the response to chemotherapy (13). However, the utility of PET is limited for T and N staging with sensitivity reported to be 19% and 11%, respectively (12). It is sometimes difficult to evaluate MPM invasion to adjacent structures such as chest wall or mediastinum. FDG accumulates not only in the tumor but also in an inflammatory lesion of asbestos related pleuritis. In one of our patients (Patient 9), a slight unilateral uptake was seen in the slightly thickened pleura. This patient had a pneumonectomy one month after the PET/CT examination, pT3N2 was confirmed at surgery, and PET/CT demonstrated a recurrence 9 months after surgery. This showed the aggressive nature of MPM and the usefulness of PET/CT to evaluate recurrent MPM. For N staging, it is sometimes difficult to distinguish hilar or mediastinal lymph node metastases from a pleural tumor or irregular pleural thickening. False-negative lymph nodes and false-positive FDG uptake in mediastinal nodes is frequently seen. In this study, hilar or mediastinal lymph node enlargement could not be differentiated from irregular pleural thickening in 3 of the 9 patients. On the other hand, FDG-positive lymph nodes in the supraclavicular and paraaortic regions were clearly demonstrated. PET/CT was useful to detect lung metastasis and unsuspected disease (colon polyps). We were also able to use intense FDG uptake as a landmark for biopsies. In follow up studies, recurrent tumors clearly showed as FDG positive.

We classified uptake pattern in 4 types. The relationship between the uptake pattern and histopathological type, and patient outcome could not be clarified in our small number of patients; however, we need to know the uptake pattern and that MPM in the early stage can show a slight laterality of pleural uptake. FDG-PET/CT is useful for detecting distant metastasis and for evaluating activity in supraclavicular or abdominal lymph nodes. It is also useful for identifying unsuspected diseases. It is important for clinicians to know the advantages and limitations of various imaging modalities for management of MPM patients.
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